Arizona Supreme Court

Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal

CR-24-0090-PR — **STATE OF ARIZONA v JONATHAN EUGENE FLOYD**

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 8-Apr-2024

Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- **State of Arizona**

Attorneys for: Appellee

Casey Ball, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 34987)

Side 2. JONATHAN EUGENE FLOYD, Appellant

(Litigant Group) JONATHAN EUGENE FLOYD

- **Jonathan Eugene Floyd** PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Apr 8, 2024.....Awaiting Petition for Review	**Apr 8, 2024.....Pending**

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)		Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-CR 22-0591					
YAV	P1300CR202001399	See File	See File	Debra R Phelan, Sentencing Comments: (none)	JURY	

2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1.	8-Apr-2024	FILED: Motion for Extension (Appellant Floyd, Pro Se)
2.	10-Apr-2024	Appellant Floyd, pro se, filed a "Motion for Extension" on April 8, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore, IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by April 25, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)